SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, JULY 18, 2003 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S117554 GARCIA v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

E034027 Fourth Appellate District, Petition for review and application for stay denied

S020244 PEOPLE v. HERNANDEZ (JESUS CIANEZ)

Order filed

Division Two

Division Two

Division Five

The finality of the opinion in the aboveentitled matter is hereby further extended to

and including August 29, 2003.

S116081 SIERRA CLUB v. CALIFORNIA COASTAL

A100194 First Appellate District, COMMISSION

Division Five Time extended to grant or deny review

to August 20, 2003

S116191 NORMAN v. LIFE CARE CENTERS

D039240 Fourth Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review

Division One

to August 25, 2003

S116223 EVANS v. SELECT PRODUCTS COMPANY

E028592 Fourth Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review

to August 25, 2003

S116228 SMITH v. W.C.A.B. (SONOMA)

A102270 First Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review

to August 26, 2003

S116235 PEOPLE v. GILMORE
D039279 Fourth Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review

Division One

to August 25, 2003

S116239 JENNINGS v. S.C. (U.C. REGENTS) A102517 First Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review **Division Four** to August 26, 2003 S116302 **DURAN v. ROBINSONS-MAY** E031288 Fourth Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review **Division Two** to August 27, 2003 S116310 PEOPLE v. YEPES B131210 Second Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review **Division Eight** to August 27, 2003 S116358 WIENER v. SOUTHCOAST CHILDCARE G028814 Fourth Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review Division Three to August 28, 2003 BRUTOCO ENGINEERING v. S.C. (UNITED S116364 E032144 Fourth Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review Division Two to August 28, 2003. S116365 NICKEL v. MAZDA MOTORS B151720 Second Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review **Division Four** to August 28, 2003. S116366 DILLINGHAM-RAY WILSON v. S.C. (CITY OF B166105 Second Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review Division Two to August 28, 2003

S033436 PEOPLE v. LEWIS AND OLIVER Extension of time granted

to August 11, 2003 to file appellant OLIVER'S reply brief. After that date, no

further extension will be granted. Extension granted based upon counsel Robert Myers's representation that he anticipates filing the brief by 8-11-2003.

S044693 PEOPLE v. WALL (RANDALL C.)

Extension of time granted

to September 22, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief.

S056766 PEOPLE v. LEON (RICHARD)
Extension of time granted

to August 14, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only two further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted. Extension granted based upon

counsel Tami Buscho's representation that she anticipates filing the brief by 12-15-2003.

S116750 DAVIS (STANLEY BERNARD) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to August 18, 2003 to file the informal response. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 180 additional days will be granted. Extension granted based upon Deputy AG Lisa Brault's representation that she anticipates filing the document by

January 16, 2004.

S004507/S004703 COX (MICHAEL ANTHONY) ON H.C. Order filed

The State Public Defender's request to augment the time for oral argument in the above-entitled matters is denied. The matters are to be argued together and each party is allotted a total of 45 minutes of argument. The State Public Defender's request to have two attorneys participate in oral argument in these matters is granted.

Bar Misc. 4186

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place: (LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

S077569

MORRIS ON DISCIPLINE Probation modified

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation in S077569 be revoked. the previously ordered stay of execution of suspension in the above-entitled matter is lifted, and MARK MORRIS, State Bar No. 129656, be suspended from the practice of law for four years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct and until he makes full restitution pursuant to the payment plan set forth at pages 23 through 27 of the stipulation filed August 21, 1998, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years and until he completes the aforementioned restitution on condition that he be actually suspended for 12 months which shall be consecutive to the 30 months ordered in S077569 (i.e., from January 4, 2002 to January 4, 2003. Mark Morris is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation ordered by the Supreme Court in S077569 (State Bar Court Case Nos. 94-O-17096; 98-O-02690 (Cons.).)

S098180

FUCHS ON DISCIPLINE Probation modified

Good cause appearing, the period of probation imposed on **John Robert Fuchs** in S098180 is reduced from three years to two years. In addition, the requirement that **John Robert Fuchs** make restitution payments to Richard Perrillo and Keith Whiteman is terminated effective May 2, 2003. Furthermore, the conditions of probation imposed on **John Robert Fuchs** in S098180 are modified to permit him to take legal action against Richard Perrillo, Keith Whiteman or any of their prior or current counsel to remove the liens on the property of **John Robert Fuchs**.

S100272

WEBB ON DISCIPLINE Probation modified

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation is revoked, the previously ordered stay of execution of suspension in the above entitled matter is lifted, and it is ordered that THOMAS CHRISTOPHER WEBB, State Bar No. **64699**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation imposed by the Supreme Court in its October 31, 2001, order in S100272 (State Bar Court Case No. 00-O-15326), subject to the modification of one probation condition as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 24, 2003. Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-fifth of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

S115037

MOSQUEDA ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **JOHNNY MOSQUEDA**, State Bar No. 162225, be suspended from the practice of law for four years and until he complies with the requirements of standard 1.4(c)(ii), as set forth more fully below, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for one year and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct; and until he provides to the Probation Unit satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session. Johnny Mosqueda is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 19, 2003. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S115038

DUNAVANT ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

It is hereby ordered that **JEFFREY LEE DUNAVANT**, **State Bar No. 181886**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar. *(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S115039

CAHILL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

It is hereby ordered that JILL ANNE CAHILL, State Bar No. 168130, be disbarred from the practice of law and that her name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar. *(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S115334

BEASLEY ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **ROBERT D. BEASLEY**, State Bar No. 182037, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he makes restitution to Scott Jaramillo or his mother (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$2,500 plus 10% interest per annum from June 14, 2001, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit of the State Bar, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for 120 days and until he makes restitution to Scott Jaramillo or his mother (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$2,500 plus 10% interest per annum from June 14, 2001, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit of the State Bar, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on February 19, 2003; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual

suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

STARK ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

> It is ordered that LYNNE STEFANIE STARK, State Bar No. 45991, be suspended from the practice of law for three years and until she complies with the requirements of standard 1.4(c)(ii), as set forth more fully below, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for three years on condition that she be actually suspended for two years and until she has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Lynne Stefanie Stark is further ordered to comply with the

S115351

other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 13, 2003. It is also ordered that **Lynne Stefanie Stark** take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of her actual suspension. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-half of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2004 and 2005. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

S115352

MICKLIS ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **DANIEL A. MICKLIS**, State Bar No. 158515, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years on condition that he be actually suspended for 18 months and until he makes restitution to Brad and Leslie Whitehead (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$525, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit of the State Bar. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on February 20, 2003. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of

that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-third of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2004, 2005 and 2006. (Business and Professions Code section 6086.10.)

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S115357

MANGAR ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **DAVID DEAN MANGAR**, State Bar No. 172628, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation, including 120 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on February 21, 2003. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 61407

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S115360

CHIEN ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **DANIEL EDOUARD** CHIEN, State Bar No. 190061, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed February 25, 2003. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S116620

STUARTEVANT ON RESIGNATION

The voluntary resignation of ELWOOD RALPH STURTEVANT, State Bar No. 78346, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.